



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,280	10/22/2004	Walter Totsch	257970US0XPCT	9471	
22850	22850 7590 10/24/2005			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SF 1940 DUKE	TVAK, MCCLELLAN	WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1621		

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/511,280	TOTSCH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sikarl A. Witherspoon	1621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILLING INTO THE MAILLING INTO THE MAILLING INTO THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMM CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, n ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6 y statute, cause the application to beco	UNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the Management of the Management (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 December 2004.					
•	-	·					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 October 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
				Stogo			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		been received in this National	Stage			
* 0	application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for	•	not received	•			
	the attached detailed Office dotton for	a list of the sertified copies	THOU TOO STAND				
Attachmen	• •	4\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	view Summany (DTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔯 Inforr	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/22/04,12/15/04</u> . 6)							

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification is missing the heading "Brief Description of Drawings".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-11, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yates (US 4,443,638).

The instant claims are drawn to a process for preparing aldehydes and alcohols by subjecting olefins to a rhodium-catalyzed hydroformylation with subsequent separation by distillation of the hydroformylation output into products and a rhodium-containing solution, and recirculation of the rhodium-containing solution, wherein the rhodium concentration of said solution is 20 to 150ppm by mass.

Yates teaches a process for preparing alcohols (and aldehydes) by the hydroformylation of internal olefins in the presence of a ligand-modified recycled rhodium catalyst where the rhodium concentration is no greater than 20 ppm based on the total feed. The reaction product is separated from the rhodium catalyst by flash

Art Unit: 1621

vacuum distillation, followed by hydrogenation to produce alcohols and recycling of the product-catalyst to the hydroformylation (col. 2, line 45 to col. 3, line 8). The ligands of the rhodium catalyst include trialkylphosphites, tricycloalkylphosphites, and triarylphosphites (col. 3, lines 20-49).

The differences between Yates and the present invention are that Yates teaches a rhodium concentration of no more than 20 ppm, while applicants recite a rhodium concentration of 20 to 150 ppm, and Yates does not expressly teach as ligand, the compound recited in instant claim 8.

The examiner does not find these differences to be a patentable distinction and contends that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the present invention was made, to either increase or decrease the rhodium concentration of the catalyst that is recycled the hydroformylation, with the obvious motivation being to keep the amount of rhodium lost as a result of the process to a minimum, and also to keep the recycled concentration of rhodium at a level that affords proper activity for the hydroformylation reaction. Yates states that the recycle catalyst was actually more active that the fresh catalyst (col. 6, lines 8-9), which suggests to one of ordinary skill that a concentration of rhodium can be found for the recycle catalyst that affords higher catalytic activity than the original catalyst.

The examiner finds it immaterial that Yates does not expressly recite the ligand of claim 8 in his disclosure, absent a showing of some unexpected result afforded by employing the ligand recited by applicants. Yates recites several examples of phosphite ligands that may by employed in his process, and the list is by no means exhaustive.

Art Unit: 1621

The compound recited in instant claim 8 is not a novel compound, and as such, the examiner takes the position that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ one of the phosphites listed in the reference, or any known phosphite ligand known to be useful in conjunction with rhodium catalysts for use in hydroformylation reactions.

Claims 6, 12-14, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yates as applied to claims 1-5, 7-11, and 15-18 above, and further in view of Ueda et al (US 5,865,957).

The instant claims further limit the process of the instant invention to the inclusion of a solvent selected from texanol, dioctyl phthalate, or diisononyl phthalate. Yates does not disclose the use of such solvents; however, Ueda et al, in their hydroformylation process teach that the olefin itself may be used as solvent, or the resulting aldehyde or high-boiling substances may be used, or a compound, such as dioctyl phthalate (col. 4, lines 42-52).

It therefore would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art, in view of the combined teachings, to employ a solvent such as dioctyl phthalate, in the hydroformylation process taught by Yates, since Ueda et al teach that such a compound, as it is inert to the reaction, may be employed as solvent in such hydroformylation reactions.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1621

saw

Sikul A. Witherpor

Page 6

SIKARL A. WITHERSROON PATENT EXAMINER